

Remarks:

In the Office Action mailed on March 21, 2006, the Examiner rejected Claims 42, 44-45. Applicants amend Claim 42 herein.

Rejection under 35 USC 112 and Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 42, 44-45 were rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement, and second paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. However, the Examiner indicated that Claims 42, 44-45 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicants have, after telephone interview with the Examiner, amended Claim 42. Applicants posit that these amendments overcome the rejections and place Claim 42 in allowable form.

The Examiner made no specific argument against Claims 44 and 45. Applicants infer that these were rejected as depending from a rejected base claim. Thus, because of the amendments to Claim 42, from which these claims depend, Claims 44 and 45 are also meet all the requirements for patentability.

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection under 35 USC 112, first and second paragraph, and allowance of the claims.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that all of the claims now in the application are allowable. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application and claims and its early allowance. If the Examiner believes that the prosecution of the application would be facilitated by a telephonic

interview, Applicants invite the Examiner to contact the undersigned at the number given below.

Applicants respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

/Pehr Jansson/

Pehr Jansson
Registration No. 35,759

Pehr Jansson
Anderson & Jansson, LLP
9501 N Capital of Texas Hwy #202
Austin, TX 78759
512-372-8440 x200
512-233-3447 (Fax)
pehr@anjanlaw.com